

EXHIBIT H

COPY
MAILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
PHL: 04

1 COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
2 RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
3 SHAWN A. WILLIAMS (213113)
4 100 Pine Street, Suite 2600
5 San Francisco, CA 94111
6 Telephone: 415/288-4545
7 415/288-4534 (fax)
8 shawnw@csgr.com
9 - and -
10 DARREN J. ROBBINS (168593)
11 DAVID C. WALTON (167268)
12 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
13 San Diego, CA 92101
14 Telephone: 619/231-1058
15 619/231-7423 (fax)
16 darrenr@csgr.com
17 davew@csgr.com

18 Attorneys for Plaintiff

19 [Additional counsel appear on signature page.]

E-filing

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
21 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

22
23
24
25
26
27
28

14 DANIEL OFFUTT, Individually and on Behalf) No. 6241
15 of All Others Similarly Situated,) CV 07
16 Plaintiff,) CLASS ACTION
17 vs.) COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF
18 VERIFONE HOLDINGS INC., DOUGLAS G.) FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS
19 BERGERON and BARRY ZWARENSTEIN,)
20 Defendants.) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

JSW

1 Plaintiff has alleged the following based upon the investigation of plaintiff's counsel, which
 2 included a review of United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings by
 3 VeriFone Holdings, Inc. ("VeriFone" or the "Company"), as well as regulatory filings and reports,
 4 securities analysts' reports and advisories about the Company, press releases and other public
 5 statements issued by the Company, and media reports about the Company, and plaintiff believes that
 6 substantial additional evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein after a
 7 reasonable opportunity for discovery.

8 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

9 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of purchasers of the common stock
 10 of VeriFone between March 1, 2007 to November 30, 2007, inclusive (the "Class Period"), seeking
 11 to pursue remedies under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act").

12 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

13 2. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to §§10(b) and 20(a) of the
 14 Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§78j(b) and 78t(a)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC
 15 [17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5].

16 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
 17 §1331 and §27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §78aa].

18 4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to §27 of the Exchange Act and 28 U.S.C.
 19 §1391(b), as many of the acts and practices complained of herein occurred in substantial part in this
 20 District.

21 5. In connection with the acts alleged in this complaint, defendants, directly or
 22 indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including, but not limited to,
 23 the mails, interstate telephone communications and the facilities of the national securities markets.

24 **PARTIES**

25 6. Plaintiff Daniel Offutt, as set forth in the accompanying certification, incorporated by
 26 reference herein, purchased the common stock of VeriFone at artificially inflated prices during the
 27 Class Period and has been damaged thereby.

28

1 7. Defendant VeriFone engages in the design, marketing, and service of transaction
2 automation systems that enable electronic payments between consumers, merchants, and financial
3 institutions.

4 8. (a) Defendant Douglas G. Bergeron ("Bergeron") was, at all relevant times,
5 Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of VeriFone.

6 (b) Defendant Barry Zwarenstein ("Zwarenstein") was, at all relevant times,
7 VeriFone's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

8 9. Defendants Bergeron and Zwarenstein are collectively referred to herein as the
9 "Individual Defendants."

10 10. Because of the Individual Defendants' positions with the Company, they had access
11 to the adverse undisclosed information about the Company's business, operations, operational
12 trends, financial statements, markets and present and future business prospects via access to internal
13 corporate documents (including the Company's operating plans, budgets and forecasts and reports of
14 actual operations compared thereto), conversations and connections with other corporate officers and
15 employees, attendance at management and/or Board of Directors meetings and committees thereof
16 and via reports and other information provided to them in connection therewith.

17 11. It is appropriate to treat the Individual Defendants as a group for pleading purposes
18 and to presume that the false, misleading and incomplete information conveyed in the Company's
19 public filings, press releases and other publications as alleged herein are the collective actions of the
20 narrowly defined group of defendants identified above. Each of the above officers of VeriFone, by
21 virtue of their high-level positions with the Company, directly participated in the management of the
22 Company, was directly involved in the day-to-day operations of the Company at the highest levels
23 and was privy to confidential proprietary information concerning the Company and its business,
24 operations, growth, financial statements, and financial condition, as alleged herein. Said defendants
25 were involved in drafting, producing, reviewing and/or disseminating the false and misleading
26 statements and information alleged herein, were aware, or recklessly disregarded, that the false and
27 misleading statements were being issued regarding the Company, and approved or ratified these
28 statements, in violation of the federal securities laws.

1 12. As officers and controlling persons of a publicly held company whose common stock
 2 was, and is, registered with the SEC pursuant to the Securities Act as of 1933, and was traded on the
 3 New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”), and governed by the provisions of the federal securities laws,
 4 the Individual Defendants each had a duty to disseminate promptly, accurate and truthful
 5 information with respect to the Company’s financial condition and performance, growth, operations,
 6 financial statements, business, markets, management, earnings and present and future business
 7 prospects, and to correct any previously issued statements that had become materially misleading or
 8 untrue, so that the market price of the Company’s publicly traded common stock would be based
 9 upon truthful and accurate information. The Individual Defendants’ misrepresentations and
 10 omissions during the Class Period violated these specific requirements and obligations.

11 13. The Individual Defendants participated in the drafting, preparation, and/or approval
 12 of the various public and shareholder and investor reports and other communications complained of
 13 herein and were aware of, or recklessly disregarded, the misstatements contained therein and
 14 omissions therefrom, and were aware of their materially false and misleading nature. Because of
 15 their Board membership and/or executive and managerial positions with VeriFone, each of the
 16 Individual Defendants had access to the adverse undisclosed information about VeriFone’s financial
 17 condition and performance as particularized herein and knew (or recklessly disregarded) that these
 18 adverse facts rendered the positive representations made by or about VeriFone and its business
 19 issued or adopted by the Company materially false and misleading.

20 14. The Individual Defendants, because of their positions of control and authority as
 21 officers and/or directors of the Company, were able to and did control the content of the various SEC
 22 filings, press releases and other public statements pertaining to the Company during the Class
 23 Period. Each Individual Defendant was provided with copies of the documents alleged herein to be
 24 misleading prior to or shortly after their issuance and/or had the ability and/or opportunity to prevent
 25 their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Accordingly, each of the Individual Defendants is
 26 responsible for the accuracy of the public reports and releases detailed herein and is therefore
 27 primarily liable for the representations contained therein.

28

1 15. Each of the defendants is liable as a participant in a fraudulent scheme and course of
2 business that operated as a fraud or deceit on purchasers of VeriFone common stock by
3 disseminating materially false and misleading statements and/or concealing material adverse facts.
4 The scheme: (i) deceived the investing public regarding VeriFone's business, operations,
5 management and the intrinsic value of VeriFone common stock; and (ii) caused plaintiff and other
6 members of the Class to purchase VeriFone common stock at artificially inflated prices.

PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

8 16. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
9 Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise
10 acquired VeriFone common stock during the Class Period and who were damaged thereby.
11 Excluded from the Class are defendants, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant
12 times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or
13 assigns and any entity in which defendants have or had a controlling interest.

14 17. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is
15 impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, VeriFone common shares were actively traded on the
16 NYSE. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to plaintiff at this time and can only
17 be ascertained through appropriate discovery, plaintiff believes that there are hundreds or thousands
18 of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of the Class may be identified
19 from records maintained by VeriFone or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of
20 this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class
21 actions.

22 18. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members
23 of the Class are similarly affected by defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal law that is
24 complained of herein.

25 19. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class
26 and have retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation.

1 20. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and
2 predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the
3 questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

4 (a) whether the federal securities laws were violated by defendants' acts as
5 alleged herein;

6 (b) whether statements made by defendants to the investing public during the
7 Class Period misrepresented material facts about the business, operations and management of
8 VeriFone; and

9 (c) to what extent the members of the Class have sustained damages and the
10 proper measure of damages.

11 21. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient
12 adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the
13 damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of
14 individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs
15 done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

17 22. Defendant VeriFone engages in the design, marketing, and service of transaction
18 automation systems that enable electronic payments between consumers, merchants, and financial
19 institutions.

20 23. The Class Period commences on March 1, 2007. On that date, VeriFone issued a
21 press release announcing its financial results for the first quarter of 2007, the period ending January
22 31, 2007. The Company reported earnings per share of \$0.37. Defendant Bergeron commented on
23 the results stating in pertinent part as follows:

24 “VeriFone has successfully completed its first quarter since our
25 transformative acquisition of Lipman. I am delighted both with the progress that we
have made in integrating Lipman's business into VeriFone and in generating another
26 very strong quarter financially and operationally”

27 “VeriFone's newly configured worldwide sales force is serving customers
28 through a fully integrated distribution channel and supply chain. At the same time
we have been reducing inventory and generating considerable operating cash
flow”

1 “Based on these results, we are increasing our second quarter internal
2 expectations for net income, as adjusted, per share to be in the range of \$0.36 to
\$0.37. We remain very confident of our prospects for fiscal 2007.”

3 24. On May 29, 2007, VeriFone issued a press release announcing its financial results for
4 the second quarter of 2007, the period ending April 30, 2007. The Company reported earnings per
5 share of \$0.39. Defendant Bergeron commented on the results stating in pertinent part as follows:

6 “I am pleased to report on another very successful quarter for VeriFone as we
7 once again achieved record profitability During the quarter, our record margins
drove our robust EPS growth, and also resulted in strong cash flow We were
8 especially pleased with our continuing success of our wireless products and were
delighted with the resurgence of our North American business which grew
sequentially 8 percent from the previous quarter.”

9 “Based on these results and the \$4 million of revenue which has been
10 recognized in the third quarter, we are increasing our third quarter internal
expectations for net revenue to \$225-\$227 million and increasing our guidance for
net income, as adjusted, per share to a range of \$0.39-\$0.40. We remain confident of
11 our prospects for the remainder of fiscal 2007.”

12 25. On June 19, 2007, VeriFone issued a press release announcing that it had priced \$275
13 million principal amount of 1.375% Senior Convertible Notes due 2012.

14 26. On September 6, 2007, VeriFone issued a press release announcing its financial
15 results for the third quarter of 2007, the period ending July 31, 2007. For the quarter, the Company
16 reported earnings per share of \$0.42. Defendant Bergeron commented on the results stating in
17 pertinent part as follows:

18 “I am extremely pleased to report on another outstanding quarter as we once
19 again achieved exceptional financial results During the quarter, we achieved
record revenues and record gross and operating margins, all which led to strong EPS
growth Our North American business continued to surge, growing 9%
20 sequentially. Our compelling portfolio of wireless solutions and our strength in
emerging markets were also significant factors driving our success this quarter.”

21 “We are increasing our internal expectations for the fourth quarter and now
22 expect to repeat these record third quarter results. Our guidance for the fourth
quarter, therefore, is for net revenue of \$231-\$233 million and net income, as
adjusted, per share of \$0.41-\$0.42. As a result, we are also increasing our full year
23 fiscal year 2007 expectations for net income, as adjusted per share to \$1.59 to \$1.60
per share. As well, given the out-performance in profitability that we have
24 consistently enjoyed since the closing of the Lipman acquisition last November, we
are now taking this opportunity to update our long term financial model. We are
25 reaffirming our revenue growth rate projection in the 10%-15% range and we are
increasing our margin expectations as reflected in the table below.”

1 27. The statements referenced above in ¶¶23-24 and 26 were each materially false and
 2 misleading when issued because they misrepresented and failed to disclose the following material
 3 adverse facts:

- 4 (a) the Company was materially overstating its financial results by failing to
 5 properly value its inventory and it was materially understating its cost of net revenues;
 6 (b) the Company was not following its publicly stated accounting policies; and
 7 (c) as a result of the foregoing, the Company's financial statements were not
 8 prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") and therefore
 9 were materially false and misleading.

10 28. Then, on December 3, 2007, prior to the opening of the market, VeriFone issued a
 11 press release announcing that "it has concluded that its unaudited interim consolidated financial
 12 statements for the three months ended January 31, 2007, the three and six months ended April 30,
 13 2007 and the three and nine months ended July 31, 2007 should no longer be relied upon, principally
 14 due to errors in accounting related to the valuation of in-transit inventory and allocation of
 15 manufacturing and distribution overhead to inventory, each of which affects VeriFone's reported
 16 costs of net revenues." The Company further reported that it had materially overstated inventories
 17 and materially understated cost of net revenues for interim periods in 2007. The press release
 18 detailed the amounts of the overstatement stating in pertinent part as follows:

19 Based on its review to date, management currently anticipates that the
 20 restatement will result in reductions to previously reported inventories of
 21 approximately \$7.7 million, \$16.5 million and \$30.2 million as of January 31, 2007,
 22 April 30, 2007 and July 31, 2007, respectively, and reductions to previously reported
 23 pre-tax income of approximately \$8.9 million, \$7.0 million and \$13.8 million for the
 24 three month periods ended January 31, 2007, April 30, 2007 and July 31, 2007,
 25 respectively. VeriFone is currently evaluating the anticipated effect of the
 26 restatement on after-tax income for those periods.

27 29. In response to this announcement, the price of VeriFone stock plummeted, falling
 28 from \$48.03 per share on November 30, 2007 to as low as \$23.67 per share in intra-day trading on
 December 3, 2007, on extremely heavy trading volume.

29 30. The market for VeriFone's common stock was open, well-developed and efficient at
 30 all relevant times. As a result of these materially false and misleading statements and failures to

1 disclose, VeriFone's common stock traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period.
2 Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired VeriFone common stock
3 relying upon the integrity of the market price of VeriFone's common stock and market information
4 relating to VeriFone, and have been damaged thereby.

5 31. During the Class Period, defendants materially misled the investing public, thereby
6 inflating the price of VeriFone's common stock, by publicly issuing false and misleading statements
7 and omitting to disclose material facts necessary to make defendants' statements, as set forth herein,
8 not false and misleading. Said statements and omissions were materially false and misleading in that
9 they failed to disclose material adverse information and misrepresented the truth about the Company,
10 its business and operations, as alleged herein.

11 32. At all relevant times, the material misrepresentations and omissions particularized in
12 this Complaint directly or proximately caused or were a substantial contributing cause of the
13 damages sustained by plaintiff and other members of the Class. As described herein, during the
14 Class Period, defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false or misleading
15 statements about VeriFone's business, prospects and operations. These material misstatements and
16 omissions had the cause and effect of creating in the market an unrealistically positive assessment of
17 VeriFone and its business, prospects and operations, thus causing the Company's common stock to
18 be overvalued and artificially inflated at all relevant times. Defendants' materially false and
19 misleading statements during the Class Period resulted in plaintiff and other members of the Class
20 purchasing the Company's common stock at artificially inflated prices, thus causing the damages
21 complained of herein. When the true facts about the Company were revealed to the market the
22 inflation in the price of VeriFone stock was removed and the price of VeriFone stock declined
23 dramatically causing loss to plaintiff and the other members of the Class.

ADDITIONAL SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS

25 33. As alleged herein, defendants acted with scienter in that defendants knew that the
26 public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were
27 materially false and misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or
28 disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced in

1 the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the federal
2 securities laws. As set forth elsewhere herein in detail, defendants, by virtue of their receipt of
3 information reflecting the true facts regarding VeriFone, their control over, and/or receipt and/or
4 modification of VeriFone's allegedly materially misleading misstatements and/or their associations
5 with the Company which made them privy to confidential proprietary information concerning
6 VeriFone, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein.

7 34. Defendants' scienter is further evidenced by their massive insider selling during the
8 Class Period. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants and other VeriFone insiders
9 collectively sold hundreds of millions of dollars of their personally held VeriFone stock to the
10 unsuspecting public. The insider trading of the Individual Defendants is shown below:

	DEFENDANT	SHARES SOLD	PROCEEDS
11	BERGERON	1,203,222	\$47,493,206
12	ZWARENSTEIN	118,585	\$4,637,814
13	TOTAL	1,321,807	\$52,131,020

APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE: FRAUD ON THE MARKET DOCTRINE

16 35. At all relevant times, the market for VeriFone's common stock was an efficient
17 market for the following reasons, among others:

18 (a) VeriFone's stock met the requirements for listing, and was listed and actively
19 traded on the NYSE, a highly efficient and automated market;

20 (b) As a regulated issuer, VeriFone filed periodic public reports with the SEC and
21 the NYSE;

22 (c) VeriFone regularly communicated with public investors via established
23 market communication mechanisms, including through regular disseminations of press releases on
24 the national circuits of major newswire services and through other wide-ranging public disclosures,
25 such as communications with the financial press and other similar reporting services; and

26 (d) VeriFone was followed by several securities analysts employed by major
27 brokerage firms who wrote reports which were distributed to the sales force and certain customers of

their respective brokerage firms. Each of these reports was publicly available and entered the public marketplace.

3 36. As a result of the foregoing, the market for VeriFone's common stock promptly
4 digested current information regarding VeriFone from all publicly available sources and reflected
5 such information in VeriFone's stock price. Under these circumstances, all purchasers of VeriFone's
6 common stock during the Class Period suffered similar injury through their purchase of VeriFone's
7 common stock at artificially inflated prices and a presumption of reliance applies.

LOSS CAUSATION/ECONOMIC LOSS

9 37. During the Class Period, as detailed herein, defendants engaged in a scheme to
10 deceive the market and a course of conduct that artificially inflated VeriFone's stock price and
11 operated as a fraud or deceit on Class Period purchasers of VeriFone stock by misrepresenting the
12 Company's financial results and business. Defendants achieved this façade of success, growth and
13 strong future business prospects by blatantly misrepresenting earnings. Over three consecutive
14 quarters, Q1 2007-Q3 2007, defendants improperly inflated VeriFone's reported results. Later,
15 however, when defendants' prior misrepresentations and fraudulent conduct were disclosed and
16 became apparent to the market, VeriFone stock fell precipitously as the prior artificial inflation came
17 out of VeriFone's stock price. As a result of their purchases of VeriFone stock during the Class
18 Period, plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered economic loss, *i.e.*, damages under the
19 federal securities laws.

20 38. On December 3, 2007, defendants were forced to publicly disclose that: (i) the
21 Company had overstated inventories and understated cost of net revenues during certain periods of
22 2007; (ii) VeriFone's financial reports were erroneous and could no longer be relied upon; and (iii)
23 the Company had overstated its earnings for the first three quarters of fiscal 2007. These public
24 revelations indicated that there had been prior falsification of VeriFone's financial results due to
25 defendants' accounting manipulations. As investors and the market became aware that VeriFone's
26 prior financial results had been falsified, the prior artificial inflation came out of VeriFone's stock
27 price, damaging investors.

1 39. As a direct result of defendants' admissions and the public revelations regarding the
2 truth about VeriFone's previously reported financial results and its actual business prospects going
3 forward, VeriFone's stock price plummeted 50%, falling from \$48.03 per share on November 30,
4 2007 to as low as \$23.67 per share in intra-day trading on December 3, 2007, on extremely heavy
5 trading volume. This drop removed the inflation from VeriFone's stock price, causing real
6 economic loss to investors who had purchased the stock during the Class Period.

7 40. The 50% decline in VeriFone's stock price at the end of the Class Period was a direct
8 result of the nature and extent of defendants' fraud finally being revealed to investors and the
9 market. The timing and magnitude of VeriFone's stock price declines negate any inference that the
10 loss suffered by plaintiff and other Class members was caused by changed market conditions,
11 macroeconomic or industry factors or Company-specific facts unrelated to the defendants' fraudulent
12 conduct. During the same period in which VeriFone's stock price fell 50% as a result of defendants'
13 fraud being revealed, the Standard & Poor's 500 securities index was flat. The economic loss, *i.e.*,
14 damages, suffered by plaintiff and other members of the Class was a direct result of defendants'
15 fraudulent scheme to artificially inflate VeriFone's stock price and the subsequent significant decline
16 in the value of VeriFone's stock when defendants' prior misrepresentations and other fraudulent
17 conduct was revealed.

NO SAFE HARBOR

19 41. The statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements under certain
20 circumstances does not apply to any of the allegedly false statements pleaded in this complaint.
21 Many of the specific statements pleaded herein were not identified as "forward-looking statements"
22 when made. To the extent there were any forward-looking statements, there were no meaningful
23 cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially
24 from those in the purportedly forward-looking statements. Alternatively, to the extent that the
25 statutory safe harbor does apply to any forward-looking statements pleaded herein, defendants are
26 liable for those false forward-looking statements because at the time each of those forward-looking
27 statements was made, the particular speaker knew that the particular forward-looking statement was

1 false, and/or the forward-looking statement was authorized and/or approved by an executive officer
2 of VeriFone who knew that those statements were false when made.

3 **COUNT I**

4 **Violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5
5 Promulgated Thereunder Against All Defendants**

6 42. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set
forth herein.

7 43. During the Class Period, defendants disseminated or approved the materially false
8 and misleading statements specified above, which they knew or deliberately disregarded were
9 misleading in that they contained misrepresentations and failed to disclose material facts necessary
10 in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not
11 misleading.

12 44. Defendants: (a) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (b) made untrue
13 statements of material fact and/or omitted to state material facts necessary to make the statements
14 made not misleading; and (c) engaged in acts, practices, and a course of business which operated as a
15 fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's common stock during the Class Period.

16 45. Plaintiff and the Class have suffered damages in that, in reliance on the integrity of
17 the market, they paid artificially inflated prices for VeriFone common stock. Plaintiff and the Class
18 would not have purchased VeriFone common stock at the prices they paid, or at all, if they had been
19 aware that the market prices had been artificially and falsely inflated by defendants' misleading
20 statements.

21 46. As a direct and proximate result of these defendants' wrongful conduct, plaintiff and
22 the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their purchases of VeriFone
23 common stock during the Class Period.

24 **COUNT II**

25 **Violation of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act
26 All Defendants**

27 47. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set
forth herein.

1 48. The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of VeriFone within the
2 meaning of §20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By reason of their positions as officers
3 and/or directors of VeriFone, and their ownership of VeriFone stock, the Individual Defendants had
4 the power and authority to cause VeriFone to engage in the wrongful conduct complained of herein.
5 VeriFone controlled the Individual Defendants and all of its employees. By reason of such conduct,
6 the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to §20(a) of the Exchange Act.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

8 WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

9 A. Determining that this action is a proper class action, designating plaintiff as Lead
10 Plaintiff and certifying plaintiff as a class representative under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
11 Procedure and plaintiff's counsel as Lead Counsel:

12 B. Awarding compensatory damages in favor of plaintiff and the other Class members
13 against all defendants, jointly and severally, for all damages sustained as a result of defendants'
14 wrongdoing, in an amount to be proven at trial, including interest thereon:

15 C. Awarding plaintiff and the Class their reasonable costs and expenses incurred in this
16 action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and

17 D. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

19 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

20 | DATED: December 11, 2007

**COUGHLIN STOLA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
SHAWN A. WILLIAMS**

Sheen A. Williams / G.P.S.

SHAWN A. WILLIAMS

100 Pine Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415/288-4545
415/288-4534 (fax)

1 COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
2 RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
3 DARREN J. ROBBINS
4 DAVID C. WALTON
5 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619/231-1058
619/231-7423 (fax)

6 COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
7 RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
8 SAMUEL H. RUDMAN
DAVID A. ROSENFELD
58 South Service Road, Suite 200
Melville, NY 11747
Telephone: 631/367-7100
631/367-1173 (fax)

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff

11 S:\CptDraft\Securities\Cpt Verifone.doc

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **CERTIFICATION OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS**

2 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-16, the undersigned certifies that as of this date, other than the
3 named parties, there is no such interest to report.

4 Shaun A. Williams / CPS
5 ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR PLAINTIFF
6 DANIEL OFFUTT

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**CERTIFICATION OF NAMED PLAINTIFF
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

DANIEL OFFUTT ("Plaintiff") declares:

1. Plaintiff has reviewed a complaint and authorized its filing.
2. Plaintiff did not acquire the security that is the subject of this action at the direction of plaintiff's counsel or in order to participate in this private action or any other litigation under the federal securities laws.
3. Plaintiff is willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of the class, including providing testimony at deposition and trial, if necessary.
4. Plaintiff has made the following transaction(s) during the Class Period in the securities that are the subject of this action:

Acquisitions:

Date Acquired	Number of Shares Acquired	Acquisition Price Per Share
11/8/07	1000	\$45.35

Sales:

Date Sold	Number of Shares Sold	Selling Price Per Share
12/3/07	1000	\$24.28

5. Plaintiff has not sought to serve or served as a representative party for a class in an action filed under the federal securities laws except as detailed below during the three years prior to the date of this Certification:

6. The Plaintiff will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of the class beyond the Plaintiff's pro rata share of any recovery,

VERIFONE
D. OFFUTT

except such reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) directly relating to the representation of the class as ordered or approved by the court.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed this 10 day of Dec, 2007.

Daniel Offutt
DANIEL OFFUTT